

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION

FILED

JUN 18 2002

LARRY W. PROPPES, CLERK
CHARLESTON, SC

DAVID D. BENNETT,

PLAINTIFF,

vs.

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES,
INC.,

DEFENDANT.

C.A. NO. 2:02-1915-18

PLAINTIFF'S ANSWERS TO LOCAL
CIVIL RULE 26.01
INTERROGATORIES

The Plaintiff answering the Interrogatories pursuant to Local Civil Rule 26.01 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, states as follows:

INTERROGATORY NO. (A)

State the full name, address and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of said interest

ANSWER: Not applicable.

INTERROGATORY NO. (B)

As to each claim, state whether it should be tried by jury or nonjury and why.

ANSWER: All claims should be tried by a jury as there are multiple tort claims.

INTERROGATORY NO. (C)

State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly owned company and separately identify: (1) each publicly owned company of which it is a parent, subsidiary, partner or affiliate; (2) each publicly owned company which owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares or other indicia of ownership of the party; and (3) each publicly owned company in which

4

the party owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares.

ANSWER: The Plaintiff is an individual.

INTERROGATORY NO. (D)

State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division).

ANSWER: Defendant Corporation did business in Charleston, South Carolina and the Plaintiff performed work on behalf of Defendant, as an employee, in Charleston, South Carolina from June 2000 until January 2001.

INTERROGATORY NO. (E)

Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this District, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide: (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases which *may be* related regardless of whether they are still pending. Whether cases are related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the Clerk of Court based on a determination of whether the cases: arise from the same or identical transactions, happenings or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

ANSWER: This action is not related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this District.

YOUNG, CLEMENT, RIVERS & TISDALE, LLP

By: Nancy Bloodgood
Nancy Bloodgood
Federal I.D. Number 5208
P.O. Box 993, Charleston, SC 29402
(843) 724-6659 - Telephone
(843) 579-1352 - Fax
E-mail: nb@ycrt.com
Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Charleston, South Carolina

Dated: 6/18/02

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading was mailed to all counsel of record in this proceeding this 18th day of June, 2002.

Karen L Russell